#### Alexander Olevskii

The talk is based on joint work with Alexander Ulanovskii

э

### Introduction

Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set of the translates

$${f(t-\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}}.$$

WIENER: When the translates span the whole space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ?

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

### Introduction

Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set of the translates

```
{f(t-\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}}.
```

WIENER: When the translates span the whole space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ?

**Theorem** (Wiener). ... if and only if the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is non-zero almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Introduction

Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set of the translates

```
\{f(t-\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.
```

WIENER: When the translates span the whole space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ?

**Theorem** (Wiener). ... if and only if the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is non-zero almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem** (Wiener). The set of translates  $\{f(t - \lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$  spans the whole space  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $\hat{f}$  has no zeros on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ …

$$Z(\hat{f}) := \{w : \hat{f}(w) = 0\}.$$

Wiener expected that similar characterizations hold for the spaces  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$  in terms of "smallness" of  $Z(\hat{f})$ .

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

$$Z(\hat{f}) := \{ w : \hat{f}(w) = 0 \}.$$

Wiener expected that similar characterizations hold for the spaces  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$  in terms of "smallness" of  $Z(\hat{f})$ .

Beurling (1951): The set of translates spans  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  if  $DIM_H(Z(\hat{f})) < 2(p-1)/p$ .

Sharp, but not necessary.

$$Z(\hat{f}) := \{ w : \hat{f}(w) = 0 \}.$$

Wiener expected that similar characterizations hold for the spaces  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$  in terms of "smallness" of  $Z(\hat{f})$ .

Beurling (1951): The set of translates spans  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  if  $DIM_H(Z(\hat{f})) < 2(p-1)/p$ .

Sharp, but not necessary.

Pollard, Herz, Newman, ...

$$Z(\hat{f}) := \{ w : \hat{f}(w) = 0 \}.$$

Wiener expected that similar characterizations hold for the spaces  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$  in terms of "smallness" of  $Z(\hat{f})$ .

Beurling (1951): The set of translates spans  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  if  $DIM_H(Z(\hat{f})) < 2(p-1)/p$ .

Sharp, but not necessary.

Pollard, Herz, Newman, ...

**Theorem** (N.Lev, A.O., Annals 2011). For every  $p, 1 , there are two functions <math>f_1, f_2 \in (L^1 \cap L^p)(\mathbb{R})$  such that

(i)  $Z(\hat{f}_1) = Z(\hat{f}_2)$ ; (ii) The set of translates of  $f_1$  spans  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , while the set of translates of  $f_2$  does not.

くぼう くほう くほう

Let  $\Lambda$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set of its  $\Lambda$ -translates

$${f(t-\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

э

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let  $\Lambda$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set of its  $\Lambda$ -translates

$${f(t-\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

**Definition**. *f* is called a generator for  $\Lambda$  if its  $\Lambda$ -translates span the whole space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let  $\Lambda$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set of its  $\Lambda$ -translates

$${f(t-\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

**Definition**. *f* is called a generator for  $\Lambda$  if its  $\Lambda$ -translates span the whole space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Two examples:

$$\Lambda_1 := \{\sqrt{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}, \quad \Lambda_2 := \mathbb{Z}.$$

 $\Lambda_1$  admits a generator while  $\Lambda_2$  does not.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Let  $\Lambda$  be a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set of its  $\Lambda$ -translates

$${f(t-\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

**Definition**. *f* is called a generator for  $\Lambda$  if its  $\Lambda$ -translates span the whole space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Two examples:

$$\Lambda_1 := \{\sqrt{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}, \quad \Lambda_2 := \mathbb{Z}.$$

 $\Lambda_1$  admits a generator while  $\Lambda_2$  does not. SIZE VERSUS ARITHMETICS!

Does there exist a *uniformly discrete* set  $\Lambda$  which admits a generator?

э

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Does there exist a *uniformly discrete* set  $\Lambda$  which admits a generator? It was conjectured that the answer is negative (1995).

<日<br />
<</p>

Does there exist a *uniformly discrete* set  $\Lambda$  which admits a generator? It was conjectured that the answer is negative (1995). We call  $\Lambda$  an almost integer set if

 $\Lambda := \{ n + \gamma(n), 0 < |\gamma(n)| = o(1) \}.$ 

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Does there exist a *uniformly discrete* set  $\Lambda$  which admits a generator? It was conjectured that the answer is negative (1995).

We call  $\Lambda$  an almost integer set if

 $\Lambda := \{n + \gamma(n), 0 < |\gamma(n)| = o(1)\}.$ 

**Theorem** (A.0., 1997). For any almost integer set of translates there is a generator.

The construction is based on "small denominators" argument.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### L<sup>p</sup>-generators

The case p > 2:

**Theorem** (A.Atzmon, A.O., Journal of Approximation Theory, 1996). For every p > 2 there is a smooth function  $f \in (L^p \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R})$  such that the family  $\{f(t-n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is complete and minimal in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Hence,  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$  admits an  $L^p$ -generator for every p > 2 (and it does not for  $p \leq 2$ ).

く 何 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

# $L^1$ -generators

No u.d. set  $\Lambda$  may admit an  $L^1$ -generator.

**Theorem** (J.Bruna, A.O., A.Ulanovskii, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 2006)  $\Lambda$  admits an  $L^1$ -generator iff it has infinite Beurling-Malliavin density.

For 1 the problem remained open.

Which function spaces can be spanned by a uniformly discrete set of translates of a single function?

All results below are from A.O., A.Ulanovskii:

- Bull. London Math. Soc. (2018) and
- Analysis Mathematica (2018).

Which function spaces can be spanned by a uniformly discrete set of translates of a single function?

All results below are from A.O., A.Ulanovskii:

- Bull. London Math. Soc. (2018) and
- Analysis Mathematica (2018).

Let X be a Banach function space on  $\mathbb{R}$ , satisfying the condition: (I) The Schwartz space  $S(\mathbb{R})$  is embedded in X continuously and densely; Then the elements of  $X^*$  are tempered distributions.

<日<br />
<</p>

Which function spaces can be spanned by a uniformly discrete set of translates of a single function?

All results below are from A.O., A.Ulanovskii:

- Bull. London Math. Soc. (2018) and
- Analysis Mathematica (2018).

Let X be a Banach function space on  $\mathbb{R}$ , satisfying the condition:

(I) The Schwartz space  $S(\mathbb{R})$  is embedded in X continuously and densely;

Then the elements of  $X^*$  are tempered distributions.

We also assume

(II) Conditions  $g \in X^*$  and spec  $g \subset \mathbb{Z}$  imply g = 0.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

**Theorem 1**. There exist a smooth function f and a uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda$  of translates such that the family  $\{f(t - \lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  spans X.

**Theorem 1**. There exist a smooth function f and a uniformly discrete set  $\Lambda$  of translates such that the family  $\{f(t - \lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  spans X.

Below we present an explicit construction of f and  $\Lambda$  in this result.

# Examples

Theorem 1 is applicable to

- $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}), p > 1.$
- Separable symmetric spaces (like Orlitz, Marzienkevich). The only exception is L<sup>1</sup>(ℝ).
- Sobolev spaces  $W^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}), p > 1$ .
- Weighted spaces L<sup>1</sup>(w; ℝ), where the weight is bounded and vanishes at infinity.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Definition.  $F \in S(R)$  is said to have a deep zero at point t if

$$|F(t+h)| < Ce^{-1/|h|}, \quad |h| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

э

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Definition.  $F \in S(R)$  is said to have a deep zero at point t if

$$|F(t+h)| < Ce^{-1/|h|}, \quad |h| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

**GENERATOR**: Take an even real function F with deep zeros at all integers (with the same constant) and at infinity, and which has no other zeros. Consider its Fourier transform  $f := \hat{F}$ .

TRANSLATES: Now define the translates as exponentially small perturbation of integers:

$$\Lambda:=\{n+e^{-|n|},n\in\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

**Theorem 1'**. The set of translates  $\{f(t - \lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is complete in every X satisfying (I) and (II).

Universality!

- 4 同 ト 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト - -

**Main Lemma**. Let F and  $\Lambda$  be as above,  $g \in S'$ . If the convolution  $\hat{F} * g$  vanishes on  $\Lambda$  then it is zero.

э

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

**Main Lemma**. Let F and  $\Lambda$  be as above,  $g \in S'$ . If the convolution  $\hat{F} * g$  vanishes on  $\Lambda$  then it is zero.

**Model Example**. If F is as above and  $\hat{F}|_{\Lambda} = 0$  then F = 0.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

**Main Lemma**. Let F and  $\Lambda$  be as above,  $g \in S'$ . If the convolution  $\hat{F} * g$  vanishes on  $\Lambda$  then it is zero.

**Model Example**. If *F* is as above and  $\hat{F}|_{\Lambda} = 0$  then F = 0. **Proof**.  $\hat{F}$  is analytic in a strip. Denote

$$H(t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F(t+k).$$

By the Poisson formula,

$$H(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{F}(n) e^{2\pi i n t}.$$

Since  $\hat{F}(n)$  is exponentially small, then H is analytic on the circle. And it has a deep zero, so that H = 0. Hence,  $\hat{F}|_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$ .

Iterate the argument above for tF,  $t^2F$ , ... to get  $\hat{F}^{(k)}|_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0, k = 1, 2, ...,$  so that  $\hat{F} = 0$ .

# Proof of Theorem 1'

Suppose the translates  $\{f(t - \lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  are not complete in X. Then there is a functional g "orthogonal" to them, which means

$$g * f|_{\Lambda} = 0.$$

By the Main Lemma, g \* f = 0. That is  $\hat{g}F = 0$ . So,  $\hat{g} = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . This means Spec  $g \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . Applying Property (II), we get g = 0.

# Proof of Theorem 1'

Suppose the translates  $\{f(t - \lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  are not complete in X. Then there is a functional g "orthogonal" to them, which means

$$g * f|_{\Lambda} = 0.$$

By the Main Lemma, g \* f = 0. That is  $\hat{g}F = 0$ . So,  $\hat{g} = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . This means Spec  $g \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . Applying Property (II), we get g = 0.

Open Problem. Does there exist a set of translates of a single function, which is complete and minimal in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ?

く 何 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

**Theorem 2**. There are  $f_1, f_2 \in S(\mathbb{R})$  such that the  $\Lambda$ -translates of them span every space X, satisfying property (I) only.

This shows an advantage of collective work!

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

**Theorem 2**. There are  $f_1, f_2 \in S(\mathbb{R})$  such that the  $\Lambda$ -translates of them span every space X, satisfying property (I) only.

This shows an advantage of collective work!

THANKS!

<日<br />
<</p>