# Polyphase Pulse Compression Codes with Optimal Peak and Integrated Sidelobes

Carroll J. Nunn Gregory E. Coxson Technology Service Corporation Suite 800, 962 Wayne Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

*Abstract*—Near-optimal or globally optimal integrated sidelobe level (ISL) polyphase codes are found for lengths 46 through 80 by using a stochastic optimization technique. Polyphase Barker codes are found for lengths 64 to 70, and 72, 76 and 77 using constrained optimization starting at optimal-ISL codes.<sup>1</sup>

Keywords: Barker sequence, peak sidelobe level, polyphase Barker, stochastic optimization.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Pulse compression coding is used in radar applications to gain the signal-to-noise (SNR) benefits of a long pulse along with the range resolution of a short pulse. An important figure of merit used to describe pulse compression codes is the peak sidelobe level (PSL). Codes with good PSL can be used to discriminate returns of interest from unwanted close-in discrete returns.

Perhaps the most well-known phase codes used in radar pulse compression are the binary Barker codes. These are codes with elements either 1 or -1 for which the PSL-to-peak voltage ratio is 1/N, where N is the length of the code. Barker codes exist for several lengths up to 13, but no Barker codes exist for odd lengths over 13 [1]. It is generally accepted that there are no such biphase codes of even length greater than 4. Furthermore, exhaustive searches have been conducted to establish that the longest codes with PSL-to-peak ratios of

<sup>1</sup>This work was funded by PEO IWS 1.0 and 2.0 under BAE prime contract N00024-01-D-7014

2/N and 3/N are probably length 28 and 53 respectively (refer, e.g., to [2] and [3]). Codes achieving 4/N sidelobe levels have been found at lengths up to and including 82 [4].

In 1965 Golomb and Scholtz [5] started to look at more general sequences called generalized Barker sequences which obey the same PSL-to-peak ratio maximum, and have complex elements not necessarily of uniform size. Here we will focus on codes that have elements of uniform size. We refer to them as "complex uniform", or polyphase codes. It has become a challenge to extend the list of lengths for which such sequences are known. Zhang and Golomb found polyphase Barkers up to length 19 in 1989 [6]. Friese and Zottman [7] extended the list to length 31 in 1994. Brenner [8] found codes up to length 45 in 1998. Borwein and Ferguson [9] found codes of length up to 63 in 2005. Also in 2005, Nunn [10] found four polyphase Barker codes of length 64. Borwein and Ferguson [11] subsequently presented a polyphase Barker of length 65 in 2007.

Whereas one goal of this paper is to find yet longer polyphase Barker sequences, much of the effort is concentrated on finding codes with very good integrated sidelobe levels (ISL), defined as

$$\mathrm{ISL} = 10 * \log_{10} \sum_{i \neq 0} \left( \frac{\mathrm{R}_i}{\mathrm{R}_0} \right)^2,$$

where the  $R_i$  are the elements of the autocorrelation sequence, i = 1 - N, ..., N - 1, and  $R_0$  is the autocorrelation peak [12]. This is done because long codes cannot have Barkerlevel sidelobes without also having good ISL. With this in mind good ISL codes are found, and then used as starting points for local searches for low-PSL codes.

In a previous publication [10], one of the authors of this paper indicated methods for finding local minima for objective functions related to pulse compression figures of merits such as matched filter ISL and PSL. These methods were used in conjunction with a stochastic search to find large numbers of 32-element and 64-element codes with locally optimal ISL. These codes were then used as initial conditions for length-32 and length-64 Barker searches. The methodology used in this paper is similar to the method of Borwein and Ferguson [9]. They used a different local optimization strategy with a stochastic search to find polyphase Barker codes for lengths from 46 to 63. They also used a variation of the Inverse Coupon Collectors problem to establish, with 99 percent certainty, the equivalent of minimum possible ISL values (refer, e.g., to [13] and [14]).

It is one purpose of this paper to use the local optimization/stochastic search strategy to extend the list of known lengths for which polyphase Barker codes may be found. In addition this paper will attempt to give a feel for the overall number of available Barkers.

# II. DISCUSSION OF METHODS AND RESULTS

To find polyphase Barker codes, the authors of this paper used an existing set of routines which were designed to find large numbers of good ISL codes. These routines evolved over a number of years, and have been used effectively to find many codes of various lengths both binary and polyphase. It would be difficult to completely describe the methodology of these tools, but the basic methodology is as described in Borwein and Ferguson's paper i.e. the use of a local optimization strategy combined with a stochastic, or global optimization strategy.

For each number of chips from 10 through 80 the program was run for a substantial amount of time on a a set of computers containing one or more Intel or AMD processors. These searches were performed off and on, in the background for many months. Computers that have been used in these searches include a computer with a 2.4 GHZ Intel quad-core processor, a 3.4 GHz Intel Pentium D processor, a pair of 2.8 GHz single core processors, and a Beowulf cluster combining 18 single core 2.2 GHz Opteron processors.

The work in this paper consisted of three tasks or objectives. The first part was to establish the length of the longest polyphase Barker code attainable with the routines and processing power available to the project. The second objective which was consistent with, and accomplished concurrently with, the first was to apply sophisticated searches and significant computational effort to seek low, and possibly optimally low, ISL values for codes from length 46 through 80. The third part was to partially understand the quantity and nature of available polyphase Barkers.

To accomplish the first and second task, existing routines were run for a substantial amount of time for each length from 10 through 80 to find large numbers of excellent ISL codes. The amount of effort used at each of these lengths was consistent with, and in most cases exceeded, the level of effort Borwein and Ferguson used to establish the equivalent of best ISL values for lengths up to 45 with 99 percent certainty. The codes which eventually yielded the polyphase Barker codes of lengths 65 through 70 in this paper were found in a relatively short time on a pair of desktop computers. The effort was continued and extended in order to search for better ISL codes and further polyphase Barker codes, and in the case of the codes from length 10 through 52, to ensure that as many polyphase Barkers as possible were found. This continued search ultimately yielded a polyphase Barker code at each of the lengths 72, 76 and 77.

The best ISL values found for lengths 41 through 80 along with the PSL values of the codes with the best ISL are shown in Table 1. The results for lengths less than 64 are compared to the results reported in [9]. These results were always at least as good as, and often better than, the comparison results. For lengths 64 and above, there are no comparison results. Though some of the improvements exhibited in Table 1 appear small, it should be noted that the point of this table is to find the lowest ISL values ever found.

The codes resulting from this search were used as starting points for a constrained optimization search, as described in [10], with the objective of finding polyphase Barker codes. This attempt was successful for all lengths below 71, and for lengths 72, 76, and 77. Table 2 gives the ISL values found for sequences used as starting points for searches that resulted in Barker sequences of length 70, 72, 76 and 77.

Tab	Table 1. ISL and PSL of Lowest-ISL Sequences Found				
N	ISL (dB)	PSL (dB)	Min ISL (dB)		
			in [9]		
41	-17.19	-29.84	-17.19		
42	-16.14	-29.50	-16.14		
43	-16.63	-27.38	-16.57		
44	-16.44	-31.10	-16.44		
45	-16.53	-29.67	-16.53		
46	-17.11	-29.72	-16.30		
47	-17.98	-29.94	-17.98		
48	-16.70	-29.45	-16.70		
49	-17.25	-26.48	-16.97		
50	-16.78	-30.77	-16.57		
51	-18.57	-31.25	-17.61		
52	-16.97	-30.93	-16.48		
53	-17.47	-32.53	-17.05		
54	-17.63	-31.43	-16.50		
55	-18.22	-30.59	-17.22		
56	-17.08	-30.74	-17.00		
57	-18.02	-29.49	-16.63		
58	-17.28	-29.85	-17.28		
59	-17.99	-29.92	-16.69		
60	-17.32	-31.37	-16.88		
61	-17.28	-32.54	-16.64		
62	-17.46	-30.99	-16.83		
63	-17.62	-32.39	-16.87		
64	-17.45	-31.13	-17.36		
65	-18.37	-32.22	\$		
66	-17.70	-30.48	\$		
67	-18.06	-28.91	\$		
68	-18.08	-32.41	\$		
69	-17.61	-31.67	\$		
70	-18.08	-31.78	\$		
71	-17.78	-29.44	\$		
72	-17.66	-33.83	\$		
73	-18.00	-30.60	\$		
74	-17.53	-33.25	$\diamond$		
75	-17.90	-30.74	$\diamond$		
76	-17.93	-33.58	\$		
77	-18.35	-31.46	\$		
78	-17.77	-32.54	$\diamond$		
79	-17.80	-32.06	$\diamond$		
80	-17.69	-34.44	\$		

Four Barker sequences were found for length 70. Barkers were also found for lengths 65 through 69. The resulting polyphase Barker codes are shown in Tables 3a, 3b, and 3c. No polyphase Barker codes were found for lengths 71, 73, 74, and 77 through 80.

Table 2. Starting Point ISL and PSL				
Ν	ISL (dB)	PSL (dB)		
70	-18.08	-31.78		
70	-17.68	-31.91		
70	-17.41	-31.44		
70	-17.35	-32.06		
72	-17.27	-33.24		
76	-17.93	-33.58		
77	-17.84	-33.48		

Each of the nine codes in Tables 3a, 3b, and 3c have zero phase in the the first two elements. This is a standard form for polyphase codes which can be achieved for a given code by applying some combination of four PSL-preserving transformations [15]. In order to save space, these zero phase elements were not displayed.

Table 3a. New Polyphase Barkers						
	Length					
i	65 66 67					
3	0.669	0.651	0.250			
4	0.705	1.094	-0.361			
5	1.620	1.098	-1.531			
6	2.788	0.760	-1.742			
7	-2.279	1.194	-1.431			
8	-0.927	0.695	-1.171			
9	-0.873	-0.238	-1.722			
10	-1.549	0.359	3.130			
11	-0.016	1.129	2.805			
12	0.796	2.068	-2.514			
13	-3.044	2.624	-1.989			
14	-1.513	-2.402	-0.967			
15	-0.131	-0.501	-0.748			
16	1.590	-0.828	0.023			
17	-3.074	-1.854	0.234			
18	2.644	-0.840	-1.041			
19	2.095	0.230	-2.578			
20	-3.042	2.393	1.586			
21	2.130	-3.060	0.326			
22	1.384	1.131	1.287			
23	-2.918	-0.166	0.641			
24	-2.928	-1.944	-1.280			
25	3.034	2.866	2.454			
26	1.542	-2.745	1.149			
27	0.033	-2.547	-1.694			
28	-2.990	0.957	-2.947			
29	-2.381	1.582	1.998			
30	-1.370	-0.442	0.742			
31	2.276	0.103	-1.043			
32	1.634	1.712	-1.372			
33	-0.725	-0.986	0.169			
34	-1.637	-1.787	2.094			
35	-1.782	1.166	2.940			
36	2.485	-1.647	-2.672			
37	1.889	-1.843	0.929			
38	-2.605	2.849	-0.487			
39	1.191	2.671	-2.745			
40	1.564	1.365	-2.633			
41	1.478	-0.730	-0.853			
42	0.231	0.377	0.507			

Table 3a, continued					
	Length				
i	65 66 67				
43	-0.868	2.694	1.177		
44	-2.381	2.546	-1.797		
45	1.418	-0.056	1.766		
46	-2.004	-0.933	-1.942		
47	-2.523	3.130	2.306		
48	2.127	0.163	-0.263		
49	1.523	2.659	-3.031		
50	-1.510	-2.094	-0.351		
51	1.840	0.546	-3.107		
52	-1.345	-1.692	-1.176		
53	2.376	0.850	1.226		
54	0.815	2.688	1.354		
55	-2.078	-2.075	-2.455		
56	0.387	1.289	-0.458		
57	2.949	-1.056	2.348		
58	-0.379	2.784	-2.290		
59	2.532	0.931	0.382		
60	-1.659	-2.703	-2.872		
61	1.053	0.141	0.618		
62	-2.749	-2.331	-2.105		
63	0.520	0.424	0.139		
64	-2.746	2.853	1.967		
65	0.824	0.024	-2.217		
66		-2.306	0.454		
67			-3.091		

Table 3b. New Polyphase Barkers					
		Length			
i	68	69	70		
3	0.4067	0.07976	1.42523		
4	-0.5046	0.58296	2.08816		
5	-2.1282	1.81485	-3.05258		
6	-2.0912	1.82098	-2.77191		
7	-1.7483	1.00855	-2.45249		
8	2.8677	0.89255	-2.46227		
9	1.7844	0.29756	-2.24951		
10	2.0184	-0.1533	-0.37481		
11	1.5365	0.64288	0.13029		
12	1.0150	0.69489	2.02962		
13	1.0508	1.43504	2.1759		
14	2.2799	2.14618	-1.16998		
15	1.9425	-2.20297	-0.38929		
16	2.6898	-1.69645	0.65086		
17	1.7585	-0.50078	1.61698		
18	1.6239	-1.0920	1.80808		
19	1.9010	-1.69751	0.10839		
20	2.9506	-1.8551	0.3322		

Table 3b, continued					
		Length			
i	68	69	70		
21	-2.5654	-2.67005	0.30113		
22	-2.0949	2.01319	0.06233		
23	-0.7560	1.26739	-1.16039		
24	0.6002	1.43274	-2.26534		
25	0.4148	0.47052	-2.67878		
26	1.9365	-0.75635	-2.41804		
27	0.4734	2.38315	2.01812		
28	-2.6279	2.35055	0.66465		
29	2.1562	-1.98574	-2.15192		
30	-0.0834	-0.85718	3.04328		
31	-2.9324	-0.54268	0.13284		
32	1.1235	2.63622	-1.15376		
33	-0.6224	2.65743	-2.66255		
34	2.7057	-1.48012	3.08902		
35	-0.4964	1.16378	0.38185		
36	2.1708	1.01598	-1.61902		
37	-1.1933	-1.5716	-2.00515		
38	2.1368	0.83961	-2.09872		
39	0.3980	2.20069	-2.48749		
40	-2.3617	-2.18334	2.2047		
41	-0.9185	-1.0478	-2.32013		
42	2.4076	2.60719	0.27012		
43	-0.5770	1.49634	-1.40224		
44	1.1069	0.19691	-2.17547		
45	2.6485	-2.1478	2.10378		
46	-0.5231	-2.44501	-1.30729		
47	2.6271	1.10051	1.15889		
48	-1.4287	0.36299	-1.32073		
49	0.7318	-1.58034	-1.99762		
50	-2.2603	2.13499	2.40911		
51	2.0771	-0.94564	-0.34463		
52	0.1169	-3.12196	2.85139		
53	-0.2964	0.90333	-1.25682		
54	-2.4187	-1.80092	0.2813		
55	-3.0375	1.50286	3.09061		
56	1.5290	-2.97088	-1.54634		
57	0.1530	-0.01951	0.14218		
58	-0.9203	-1.64371	2.22836		
59	2.6488	2.25934	-1.49084		
60	-2.5165	-0.46549	-0.09963		
61	-0.4344	2.26634	2.5801		
62	0.8895	-1.4276	-1.00357		
63	2.1702	1.22248	2.87771		
64	-1.5633	-1.93941	0.04429		
65	-0.7039	1.07472	-2.79157		
66	2.1541	-2.80148	0.36066		
67	2.8912	-0.28353	-2.43624		
68	-0.3947	2.33779	0.50735		
69		-0.91657	-3.00861		
70			0.13762		

Table 3c, continued					
i	72	76	77		
40	-2.6224	0.7383	-0.1418		
41	-1.8485	-0.3336	0.5553		
42	-0.7337	0.2405	-2.3394		
43	2.4022	0.7288	-2.6826		
44	1.5541	0.3513	-0.5124		
45	-2.8166	3.1116	0.8723		
46	-2.3892	2.7773	-2.7094		
47	-0.5668	2.9883	-3.0903		
48	1.7357	-1.7581	-0.0609		
49	3.0393	1.8147	0.5818		
50	-0.6961	0.249	-2.3695		
51	2.3551	2.1562	-0.2644		
52	2.7485	-1.1582	-2.3454		
53	-1.436	-2.0082	-2.1221		
54	0.7501	-0.3944	1.7057		
55	2.3623	-2.3461	-2.7964		
56	-2.0554	0.2543	0.8776		
57	2.2897	2.2108	2.9202		
58	-0.3058	1.1797	-0.6545		
59	2.8183	2.7053	0.8063		
60	-0.0986	-1.7175	-1.7238		
61	-2.9669	0.4087	2.6827		
62	0.8018	-2.278	-0.5054		
63	3.0655	-0.1442	-2.5864		
64	-0.2771	2.9859	2.3057		
65	2.5553	-0.3654	1.1339		
66	-0.9381	2.3881	-1.7974		
67	1.3781	-1.1393	1.3614		
68	2.8031	0.4539	-0.1384		
69	-0.7153	1.6986	-2.5185		
70	2.4486	-2.2759	0.5594		
71	-0.7853	0.2246	-2.7806		
72	1.8288	2.2318	0.3097		
73		-2.527	-2.8669		
74		-0.5133	1.1971		
75		2.0835	-1.0393		
76		-1.6578	2.5406		
77			-0.1159		

III.	IF MY NET COMES	ВАСК ЕМРТҮ,	Are	Тне	Fish	All
		GONE?				

It is natural to ask why there is a dearth of larger polyphase Barkers in our results. As with previous efforts to find polyphase Barkers, it is not possible to determine for certain whether they were not found because they do not exist, or they were not found because the methods employed were not capable of finding them in the time alloted. Although this is

Table 3c. New Polyphase Barkers						
	Length					
i	72	76	77			
3	-0.1198	-0.2554	0.4842			
4	-1.0806	-0.9594	1.3605			
5	-1.9605	-0.8675	1.4647			
6	-2.4183	-0.3549	1.3807			
7	2.8421	-0.021	0.6939			
8	2.3423	-0.9241	0.7884			
9	2.1876	-1.5966	1.0706			
10	1.0962	-1.2608	2.3907			
11	0.7354	-1.667	3.1198			
12	1.3757	3.0338	-2.9635			
13	1.6034	1.3757	-1.6182			
14	2.2493	-0.0373	-1.0878			
15	2.3479	-1.1547	-0.4648			
16	2.5616	-2.5654	1.9737			
17	2.3693	1.6511	2.7293			
18	-1.9032	1.1046	2.5286			
19	-2.1234	1.0662	2.6055			
20	-0.7022	-1.2648	2.2223			
21	-1.3015	-1.4643	1.1757			
22	1.8598	0.6193	1.482			
23	0.878	0.7471	-1.444			
24	-0.3462	-2.6102	-0.0035			
25	-2.3613	2.7774	1.8144			
26	2.9272	0.8379	1.4187			
27	-0.2282	1.3649	0.0879			
28	-1.751	2.8297	0.3141			
29	2.0565	2.9632	-2.1991			
30	0.4911	-0.847	-1.6148			
31	-2.4045	-1.8794	2.151			
32	-2.2363	1.97	1.4875			
33	-2.5211	-0.1631	-0.5051			
34	2.0985	-1.8844	0.0665			
35	-0.5782	1.7583	-2.9933			
36	-1.1035	-1.5173	0.3578			
37	-2.297	3.1287	1.0729			
38	2.3064	-0.7578	-1.5457			
39	1.6868	-2.616	-2.5396			

not a question that can be answered for certain, evidence can be gathered and presented which suggests the answer to this question.

In an attempt to collect evidence of this kind, search yield, in terms of numbers of polyphase Barkers found, was determined for sizes from 10 to 52. As a first step, copious amounts of time and effort were spent in finding the number of polyphase Barkers for short lengths (below 20). The number of local optimizations needed to find all of the polyphase Barkers at these lengths were established. The effort was seen to be growing at an exponential rate. The amount of effort was then extrapolated from this lower length to lengths up to 52 chips. That effort was then expended, and the numbers tabulated; they are plotted in Figure 1.

To see if the exponential increase in effort was sufficient to capture the number of polyphase Barker codes for lengths from 10 to 52, an additional test was done at length 52. In this test the program was run a large number of times over a period of several days on an 18 element Beowulf cluster. At the end of this time the program had executed 305 times. The exact number of times the routine was run had no particular significance other than the fact that it was a relatively large number. Each time the routine was run, a number of polyphase Barkers were found. Some were found every time, and some were found only a small percentage of the time. Figure 2 shows the results for the 48 codes found during the 305 trials.

Of the 305 times there were four codes found each of the 305 times. One code was found only 4 times and all the others were found 20 or more times. Interestingly, the



Fig. 1. Numbers of polyphase Barker codes as a function of code length

number of codes found during this set of 305 trials was exactly the same as the number of codes found during the above-described exponentially increasing search. Similar tests were done for lengths 44 and 48. At each of these sizes the probabilities of finding individual codes followed a similar pattern, and we found no further codes beyond those found in the exponentially increasing search. This does not show that there are no more polyphase Barkers at that length. In fact a careful examination of Figure 2 suggests the possibility of a relatively small number of further polyphase Barkers at length 52. We do believe however that our search was relatively thorough at those lengths. It is the authors opinion that the numbers of poly-phase Barker codes not found during this search are not sufficient in number to effect the trend shown in Figure 1.

The shape of graph in Figure 1 appears to show that the number of polyphase Barker codes is rapidly decreasing after its peak at 38 chips. We believe that if we have made the case



Fig. 2. Frequency of occurrence of polyphase Barkers of length 52

for having found most of the polyphase Barker codes in the 10 to 52 chip region, it is not unreasonable to believe that the polyphase Barker codes could run out at lengths not far beyond those found in this paper.

### IV. SENSITIVITY TO DOPPLER AND PRECISION

Any pulse compression code will exhibit some sensitivity to Doppler and to the number of bits used to represent elements of the sequence. This section will show results from computations aimed at assessing each of these issues.

To assess sensitivity to quantization, all the Barker sequences found for lengths 10 to 52 were examined. For each of these lengths, each code was subjected to 6000 Monte Carlo trials in which two independent random phases were drawn from a uniform distribution. One phase was used to generate a unit-amplitude complex multiple for the sequence, and the second phase was used to generate a progressive ramp in phase across the sequence. Both of these operations preserve sidelobe level. However, the binary bit representation for the sequence



Fig. 3. Percentage of Sequences Remaining Barker for Random Phase Ramp, 6000 Trials

generated was then truncated. Figure 3 shows the logarithm of the fraction of trials for which the sidelobes of these sequences obey the Barker constraint, as a function of length for 4-bit and 8-bit binary representation.

Figure 3 shows that the fraction decreases more slowly for the 8-bit representation than for the 4-bit representation, to about one quarter by length 52. The fraction with 4-bit representation drops below one out of every ten thousand cases by length 40. In each case the trend is for the proportion of polyphase Barkers to decrease log-linearly with length. This is in line with the authors' expectation that longer polyphase Barker codes can in general be expected to require larger alphabets. A more exhaustive study of this phenomenon is given in [9] and [11].

Doppler sensitivity was examined by applying a progressive phase ramp across each Barker sequences for length 10 to length 52. Phase ramps using increments of 0.25 and 0.5 degrees per chip were applied. Figure 4 shows the minimum,



Fig. 4. Side-lobe levels of polyphase Barker codes after injecting 0.25 deg. of phase per chip



Fig. 5. Side-lobe levels of polyphase Barker codes after injecting 0.50 deg. of phase per chip

maximum and mean PSL observed for the sequences of each length with a phase ramp using an increment of 0.25 degrees. Figure 5 does the same for an increment of 0.50 degrees.

It should be noted that there is significant variability in the performance degradation due to Doppler effects, and in many of the cases exhibited the degraded sidelobes are better than can be expected for even the best of the match-filtered biphase codes.

## V. SUMMARY

In this paper we have extended the length for which polyphase Barker codes are known to exist to length 70. Further polyphase Barker codes were found at lengths 72, 76, and 77. In addition we extended the length for which globally minimum ISL codes are likely to have been found to length 80. We also exhibited evidence that the numbers of polyphase Barkers reach a peak in the late 30's and start decreasing at a fairly rapid rate. We do not claim that we have found all lengths for which there are polyphase Barkers. We do however believe that sometime in the near future, all the lengths for which polyphase Barkers exist will be found.

#### REFERENCES

- Turyn, R., "On Barker codes of even length", *Proc. of the IEEE*, vol. 51, September 1963, p. 1256.
- [2] Levanon, N. and Mozeson, E., Radar Signals, Wiley, NY, 2005.
- [3] Kerdock, A., Mayer, R. and Bass, D, "Longest binary pulse compression codes with given peak sidelobe levels", *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 74, no. 2, Feb. 1986, p. 366.
- [4] Nunn, C. and Coxson, G., "Best Known Autocorrelation peak sideobe levels for binary codes of length 71 to 105", vol. 44, no. 1, Jan. 2008, pp. 392-395.
- [5] Golomb, S. and Scholtz, R., "Generalized Barker sequences (transformations with correlation function unaltered, changing generalized Barker sequences)", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 11, Oct. 1965, pp. 533-537.
- [6] Golomb, S. and Zhang, N., "Sixty-phase generalized Barker sequences", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 35, no. 4, July 1989, pp. 911-912.
- [7] Friese, M. and Zottman, H., "Polyphase Barker sequences up to length 31", *Elect. Letters*, vol. 30, no. 23, 10 Nov. 1994, pp. 1930-31.
- [8] Brenner, A.R., "Polyphase Barker sequences up to length 45 with small alphabets", *Elect. Letters*, vol. 34, no. 16, 6 Aug. 1998, pp. 1576-1577.
- [9] Borwein, P. and Ferguson, R., "Polyphase sequences with low autocorrelation", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 4, Apr. 2005, pp. 1564-1567.
- [10] Nunn, C.J., "Constrained optimization applied to pulse compression codes, and filters", *Proc. 2005 IEEE Intl Radar Conf.*, Washington DC, pp. 190-194.
- [11] Borwein, P. and Ferguson, R., "Barker sequences", poster session, CMS-MITACS Joint Conference, Winnipeg, Manitoba, May 31 to June 3, 2007.
- [12] Nunn, C.J. and Welch, L.R., "Multi-parameter local optimization for the design of superior matched filter polyphase pulse compression codes", *Proc. 2000 IEEE Intl. Radar Conference*, Alexandria, VA, pp. 435-440.
- [13] Dawkins, B., "Siobhan's Problem: the coupon collector revisited", *Amer. Statistician*, vol 45, 1991, pp. 76-82.
- [14] Langford, E. and Langford, R., "Solution of the inverse collector's problem", *Math. Scientist.* vol. 27, 2002, pp. 32-35.
- [15] Golomb, S. and Win, M., "Recent results on polyphase sequences", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, Mar. 1999, pp. 817-824.
- [16] Eliahou, S. and Kervaire, M., "Barker sequences and difference sets", *l'Enseignement Mathématique*, vol. 38, pp. 345-382.