

**CHARACTERISATION OF  $b(M)$  FOR  
CONTINUOUS BMO-MARTINGALES.**

DELBAEN FREDDY  
ETH ZÜRICH

JOINT WORK WITH S. TANG OF  
FUDAN UNIVERSITY SHANGHAI

MARYLAND: DILIP COUNTY, OCTOBER, 2006

- (1)  $(\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq \infty}, \mathbf{P})$ , usual assumptions
- (2) all martingales are continuous, all stopping times are predictable
- (3)  $M$  is a (real) BMO-martingale
- (4)  $\mathcal{E}(M) = \exp\left(M - \frac{1}{2}\langle M, M \rangle\right)$ ,  $d\mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{E}(M)_\infty d\mathbf{P}$
- (5) For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda M) = \exp\left(\lambda M - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \langle M, M \rangle\right)$
- (6)  $\mathcal{E}(iM) = \exp\left(iM + \frac{1}{2}\langle M, M \rangle\right)$

$$b(M) = \sup \left\{ b \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there is } K \text{ so that for every stopping time } T : \\ \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{b^2}{2} (\langle M, M \rangle_\infty - \langle M, M \rangle_T) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_T \right] \leq K \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Kazamaki asked if there is a relation between  $b(M)$  and the BMO-distance of  $M$  to  $H^\infty = \{N \mid \langle N, N \rangle \in L^\infty\}$

Grandits answered the question by “no”

Schachermayer characterised the closure of  $H^\infty$  in BMO

What is the meaning of  $b(M)$ ?

## The Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition.

Let  $S = M - \langle M, M \rangle + N$  be a price process, here  $d\langle N, N \rangle \perp d\langle M, M \rangle$ . All price processes can be written in such a way by adapting the “drift related part” of the stochastic driver.

We say that a Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition exists if each random variable  $f \in (H^p)^*$  can be written as

$$f = \pi(f) + \int_0^\infty H_u dS_u + W_\infty, \text{ where } W \perp S, W \in (H^p)^*.$$

This problem was solved

- (1) D., Monat, Schachermayer, Schweizer, Stricker for  $p = 2$
- (2) Grandits and Krawczyk for  $1 < p < \infty$
- (3)  $p = 1$  i.e. FS holds in BMO (D. and Tang)

**Theorem.** *The necessary and sufficient condition for FS to hold in  $(H^p)^*$  is that  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  satisfies the reverse Hölder condition for  $p$ , “ $R_p$ ”, i.e. there is a constant  $K$  such that for every stopping time  $T$*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}(M)_\infty}{\mathcal{E}(M)_T} \right)^p \mid \mathcal{F}_T \right] \leq K.$$

We need the operator that maps

$f$  to the element  $f - \langle M, Z \rangle_\infty$

where  $Z$  is the martingale  $Z_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}[f \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$ .

For  $M \in BMO$  this operator maps  $H^p$  into  $L^p$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . (for  $p = \infty$  we use  $BMO$  instead of  $H^\infty, L^\infty$ ).

But the risk neutral measure involved is

$$d\mathbf{Q}/d\mathbf{P} = \mathcal{E}(M).$$

Basic ingredient is the following

**Lemma (Yor).** *Suppose  $p > 1$ , suppose  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  satisfies  $R_p$ . Let  $Z \in L^\infty$ , let  $Z'_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Q}}[Z_\infty \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$ , then  $\|\langle Z', Z' \rangle^{1/2}\|_q \leq c\|Z\|_q$ .*

For  $p = 1$  we need the following.

**Theorem.**

$$\|H \cdot M\|_1 \leq c\|H \cdot M - (H \cdot M) \cdot M\|_1.$$

*Proof.* Based on Fefferman's inequality, stochastic analysis and *BMO*-theory.

$$dX_t = (X_t + g_t) dM_t, \quad X_0 = 0$$

Its solution is (put  $M^{\mathbf{Q}} = M - \langle M, M \rangle$ ):

$$X_t = \mathcal{E}(M)_t \int_0^t \mathcal{E}(M)_s^{-1} g_s dM_s^{\mathbf{Q}}$$

How good is  $X$  if  $g \cdot M \in H^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ?

**Known Theorem.** *The operator  $\varphi$  defined as  $\varphi(X) = X \cdot M$  maps the  $H^p$ -martingales into  $H^p$ -martingales and this for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . ( $L^\infty \rightarrow BMO$ )*

The theorem remains true for complex martingale spaces and  $\|\varphi^n\|_{\mathbb{C}} \leq 2\|\varphi^n\|_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\|\varphi^n\|_{\mathbb{R}}$  depending on  $p$ .



The dual of  $\varphi$  on  $(H^p)^* \sim L^q$  (for  $p > 1$ ) and  $(H^1)^* = BMO$  for  $p = 1$  is given by

$$\varphi^*(Z_\infty) = \langle Z, M \rangle_\infty$$

It is defined on the random variables  $Z_\infty$  and returns a random variable.

**Theorem.** *For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the SDE satisfies*

$\|X\|_p \leq c\|g \cdot M\|_p$  *if and only if*

- (1)  $Y - \varphi(Y)$  *is an isomorphism of  $H^p$  or equivalently*
- (2)  $Z_\infty - \langle Z, M \rangle_\infty$  *defines an isomorphism of  $(H^p)^*$ .*

The equation can now be written as the equation

$$X - \varphi(X) = g \cdot M.$$

**Theorem.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1) the SDE satisfies  $\|X\|_p \leq c\|g \cdot M\|_p$  ( $H^p$  norms).
- (2)  $Y - \varphi(Y)$  is an isomorphism of  $H^p$  or equivalently
- (3)  $Z_\infty - \langle Z, M \rangle_\infty$  defines an isomorphism of  $(H^p)^*$ .
- (4) The FS decomposition holds in  $(H^p)^*$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  satisfies the reverse Hölder condition  $R_p$ .

*Remark.*  $\mathcal{E}(M)$  satisfies  $R_p$  for some  $p > 1$ .

## Study of the operator $\varphi$

It is better to study the operator on the complex  $H^p$  spaces. In the case of complex  $\lambda$ , the exponential  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda M)$  is no longer a uniformly integrable martingale and the case  $p = 1$  becomes similar to the case  $p > 1$ . The operator  $Id - \lambda\varphi$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\lambda^{-1}$  is not in the spectrum of  $\varphi$ . (seen on complex  $H^p$  space).

**Theorem.** *Let  $r_p$  be the spectral radius of  $\varphi$  on  $H^p$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{b(M)} \sqrt{p} \leq r_p \leq \frac{1}{b(M)} \sqrt{p(2p-1)}$$

**Theorem.** *Are equivalent*

- (1) *for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and all (some)  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $Id - \lambda\varphi$  is an isomorphism on complex  $H^p$*
- (2) *for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and all (some)  $1 \leq p < \infty$  there is  $K$  so that for all stopping times  $T \leq \tau$ :*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \frac{\mathcal{E}(\lambda M)_\tau}{\mathcal{E}(\lambda M)_T} \right|^p \mid \mathcal{F}_T \right] \leq K.$$

- (3)  *$b(M) = \infty$*
- (4)  *$\varphi$  is quasi-nilpotent on all (some)  $H^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), i.e.  $r_p = 0$*
- (5)  *$\lim \|\varphi^n\|^{1/n} = 0$  on all (some)  $H^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ).*