Absolute continuity and singularity of measures without measure theory

R. B. Burckel

Department of Mathematics Kansas State University Manhattan, KS 66506



February Fourier Talks Norbert Wiener Center University of Maryland February 17th, 2011

◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ● の々で

F. & M. Riesz Theorem

Every non-zero analytic measure ν on \mathbb{T} is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure λ .

Corollary (Szegö's Theorem)

Let σ be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{T} that annihilates some set of positive Lebesgue measure. Then the powers z^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, span $L^2(\sigma)$.

Proof of the F. & M. Riesz Theorem

Let $\mu := |\nu|$ and f the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$. That is,

$$d\nu = fd\mu$$
 and $|f| = 1 \ \mu$ - a.e.

Then the analyticity hypothesis on ν can be written

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n f(z) d\mu(z) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (1)

Let \langle, \rangle and $\|\cdot\|$ denote inner product and norm in $L^2(\mu)$ and U the unitary operator of multiplication by z. By "span" will be meant "closed linear span in $L^2(\mu)$."

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト - ヨ

According to (1) the constant function 1 is orthogonal to every $U^n f$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, so the set

$$M:=({ t closed}) ext{ span } \{U^nf:n\in\mathbb{N}\} ext{ in } L^2(\mu)$$
 (2)

is a proper subspace of $L^2(\mu)$, evidently U-invariant. In fact,

$$UM \subsetneq M.$$
 (3)

Now the linear span of $\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T})$ (why?), hence also in $L^2(\mu)$. So, given $g \in L^2(\mu)$, since $|f| = 1 \mu$ -a.e. $\overline{f}g \in L^2(\mu)$ and accordingly some P_n in this linear span satisfy $||P_n - \overline{f}g|| \to 0$. That is, $||P_nf - g|| \to 0$, showing that

span
$$\{z^n f : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = L^2(\mu).$$
 (4)

If we suppose, contrary to (3), that UM = M, then

$$U^*M = U^*UM = M,$$

so M contains, along with z f,

$$(U^*)^m z f = (\overline{z})^m z f = z^{-m+1} f \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N},$$

and consequently

$$z^n f \in M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which with (4) contradicts the proper inclusion $M \subsetneq L^2(\mu)$. This contradiction confirms (3).

Form the orthocomplement

 $M \odot UM \neq \{0\}$

and note that the closed subspaces $U^n(M \ominus UM)$ are orthogonal, which is pretty clear when they are written as

 $U^nM \odot U^{n+1}M.$

As a special case

 $\{U^n h\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal sequence in $L^2(\mu)$ (5)

for every unit vector $h \in M \odot UM$.

Note that

$$\bigcap_{k\geq 0} U^k M \text{ is orthogonal to } U^n(M \odot UM) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For if $m_1 \in M \odot UM$ and m_0 lies in this intersection, then $m_0 = U^{|n|+1}m_2$ for some $m_2 \in M$, and so

$$\langle m_0, U^n m_1 \rangle = \langle U^{|n|+1} m_2, U^n m_1 \rangle = \langle U^{|n|-n+1} m_2, m_1 \rangle = 0,$$

since $U^{|n|-n+1}m_2 \in UM$. The same argument shows that

$$\bigcap_{k\geq 0} U^k M \text{ is orthogonal to } U^n 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (6)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 一日 うらの

8/19

The Wold decomposition says that M is the orthogonal sum

$$M = \bigcap_{k \ge 0} U^k M \oplus \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} U^n (M \odot UM).$$
(7)

Again, this is pretty transparent when the right side is written out as

$$\bigcap_{k\geq 0} U^k M \oplus (M \odot UM) \oplus (UM \odot U^2 M) \oplus (U^2 M \odot U^3 M) \oplus \cdots$$

As previously noted, vectors $U^n 1 = z^n$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ span a dense subspace of $L^2(\mu)$. From (6), then $\bigcap_{k\geq 0} U^k M$ must be $\{0\}$ and (7) reads

$$M = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} U^n (M \odot UM).$$
(8)

9/19

Next we aim to show the non-zero space

$$M \odot UM$$
 is 1-dimensional. (9)

If not, \exists orthogonal unit vectors $g, h \in M \odot UM$. By familiar maneuvers,

$$U^m h \perp U^k g \quad \forall m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

so

$$0 = \langle U^m h, U^k g \rangle = \langle U^{m-k} h, g \rangle \quad \forall m, k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

whence

$$0 = \langle U^n h, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n h \bar{g} \, d\mu \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Again, due to denseness of the powers $z^n,$ this entails $h\bar{g}=0$ $\mu\text{-a.e.}$ That is,

$$|h||g| = 0$$
 μ -a.e. (10)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト ヨー の

As noted in (5)

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n |h|^2 \, d\mu(z) = \langle U^n h, h
angle = \left\{egin{array}{cc} 1 & ext{if } n=0 \ 0 & ext{if } n\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}, \end{array}
ight.$$

that is, the measure $|h|^2 d\mu$ has exactly the same Fourier coefficients as λ , so

$$|h|^2 d\mu = d\lambda$$

And the same is true for g. Thus,

$$|h|^2 d\mu = d\lambda = |g|^2 d\mu, \qquad (11)$$

whence, by (10),

$$|h|^3 d\mu = |h||g|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

contrary to $||h||^2 = \int |h|^2 d\mu = 1$. Thus g = 0, and (9) is confirmed.

That is, for any $h \in M \odot UM$ of norm 1

 $M \odot UM = \mathbb{C}h$,

so (8) says

span
$$\{U^nh:n\in\mathbb{N}_0\}=M.$$

In particular, since $Uf = z f \in M$, we see that z f lies in the span of $z^n h$. It follows that

span
$$\{z^n f : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset$$
 span $\{z^n h : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト - ヨ

Combined with (4) this says

span
$$\{z^n f : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
 = span $\{z^n h : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ = $L^2(\mu)$. (12)

A little thought shows the equality of these two spans entails

 $f d\mu \ll h d\mu \ll f d\mu$,

and thanks to (11)

 $d\lambda \ll |h| d\mu \ll d\lambda.$

Thus $fd\mu = d\nu$ is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to $d\lambda$. \Box

Proof of Szegö

Denote by M the (closed) span in $L^2(\sigma)$ of the z^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume $M \neq L^2(\sigma)$. There is then a non-zero function $g \in L^2(\sigma)$ orthogonal to M:

$$0 = \langle z^n, g \rangle_{L^2(\sigma)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n \bar{g} \, d\sigma \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This says that $\bar{g}d\sigma$ is a (non-zero) analytic measure. Hence $d\lambda \ll \bar{g}d\sigma$. So for every Borel *B*,

$$\sigma(B) = 0 \Rightarrow \int_B \bar{g} \, d\sigma = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda(B) = 0.$$

Contrary to the hypothesis on σ , which has σ annihilating a B with $\lambda(B) > 0$. \Box

Holland [1974]

 σ is a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{T} which is singular with respect to λ .

$$F(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{u+z}{u-z} \, d\sigma(u),$$

a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} .

$$A_k := k^{th}$$
 Taylor coefficient of $rac{F(z)-1}{F(z)+1}$.

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_k|^2 = 1 \tag{i}$$

and the polynomials $P_n(z) := \sum_{k=1}^n A_k z^k, \ n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |1 - P_n|^2 \, d\sigma = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n |A_k|^2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (ii)

15/19

(i) and (ii) show (very constructively!) that

$$1 \in \text{span} \{z^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, i.e.,$$

span
$$\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \text{ span } \{z^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

By induction it follows

span
$$\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} =$$
 span $\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, i.e.,$

$$L^2(\sigma) = \operatorname{span} \{ z^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

(Note the stronger hypothesis than in Szegö.)

Øksendal [1971]

A \mathbb{C} -valued Borel measure ν on \mathbb{T} satisfying (A) is given and what has to be shown is that $\nu(K) = 0$ for every λ -null Borel K. Because Borel measures are inner regular, it suffices to consider only compact K.

Clearly it further suffices to do this for the modified measure

$$\nu_0 := \nu - \nu(\mathbb{T})\lambda.$$

The measure ν_0 is also analytic but in addition annihilates 1. That is,

$$\hat{\nu_0}(-n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n \, d\nu_0(z) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
 (A*)

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → ○ への

18/19

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, an $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $z_j \in K$ and $\rho_j > 0$ are chosen appropriately and the rational functions

$$g_n(z):=1-\prod_{j=1}^Nrac{z-z_j}{z-(1+
ho_j)z_j}$$

are introduced.

They are bounded by 2 on \mathbb{T} and converge there to the indicator function of K. Since g_n is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, the partial sums of its Taylor series at 0 approximate it uniformly on \mathbb{T} , and each sum has ν_0 -integral 0, thanks to (A*). Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} g_n \, d\nu_0 = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows from the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem that

٠

$$\nu_0(K) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g_n \, d\nu_0 = 0,$$

as wanted.