

# Bilinear pseudodifferential operators of Hörmander type

Árpád Bényi

Department of Mathematics  
Western Washington University  
Bellingham, WA 98226  
[arpad.benyi@wwu.edu](mailto:arpad.benyi@wwu.edu)

February Fourier Talks 2012

- Linear  $\psi$ DOs
  - Some classical boundedness results
  - Bilinear  $\psi$ DOs
  - Results and comparison to linear case

- Linear  $\psi$ DOs
- Some classical boundedness results
- Bilinear  $\psi$ DOs
- Results and comparison to linear case

# Outline of the talk

- Linear  $\psi$ DOs
- Some classical boundedness results
- Bilinear  $\psi$ DOs
- Results and comparison to linear case

- Linear  $\psi$ DOs
- Some classical boundedness results
- Bilinear  $\psi$ DOs
- Results and comparison to linear case

For a function  $f$ , two complementary representations:

- The function  $f(x)$  itself (spatial behavior)
- The Fourier transform  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$  (frequency behavior)

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

For a function  $f$ , two complementary representations:

- The function  $f(x)$  itself (spatial behavior)
- The Fourier transform  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$  (frequency behavior)

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx$$

$$f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

The synthesis formula above is:

$$Id(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-d}}_m \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Translation invariant extension:

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Theorem (Mihlin, 1956)

If  $|\partial^\beta m(\xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p, 1 < p < \infty$ .



The synthesis formula above is:

$$Id(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-d}}_m \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Translation invariant extension:

$$T_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} m(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

**Theorem (Mihlin, 1956)**

If  $|\partial^\beta m(\xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p, 1 < p < \infty$ .

Non-translation invariant extension:

$$T_\sigma(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Theorem (Ching, 1972; a question of Nirenberg)

*If  $|\partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \not\rightarrow L^2$ .*

Boundness requires also some a priori smoothness in  $x$ !

Non-translation invariant extension:

$$T_\sigma(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Theorem (Ching, 1972; a question of Nirenberg)

*If  $|\partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \not\rightarrow L^2$ .*

Boundness requires also some a priori smoothness in  $x$ !

Non-translation invariant extension:

$$T_\sigma(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi$$

Theorem (Ching, 1972; a question of Nirenberg)

*If  $|\partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \not\rightarrow L^2$ .*

Boundness requires also some a priori smoothness in  $x$ !

# (Linear) Hörmander classes of symbols

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$ . A symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  belongs to the Hörmander class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|}$$

In particular:  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^0 \Leftrightarrow |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ .

Theorem (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)

If  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p, 1 < p < \infty$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$ . A symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  belongs to the Hörmander class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|}$$

In particular:  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^0 \Leftrightarrow |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ .

Theorem (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)

If  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p, 1 < p < \infty$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$ . A symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi)$  belongs to the Hörmander class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|}$$

In particular:  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^0 \Leftrightarrow |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|}$ .

**Theorem (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)**

If  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

# Connection to Calderón-Zygmund theory

Note that:  $S_{1,0}^0 \subset S_{1,\delta}^0 \subset S_{1,1}^0$ .

## Theorem

*The class  $S_{1,1}^0$  is the largest one such that  $T_\sigma$  has a Calderón-Zygmund kernel.*

That is,

$$T_\sigma(f)(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y) dy,$$

where  $K(x, y)$  satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta K(x, y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{-n-|\alpha|-|\beta|}.$$

In particular,  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p \Leftrightarrow T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

$$S_{1,\delta}^0 : L^2 \rightarrow L^2, 0 \leq \delta < 1 \text{ but } S_{1,1}^0 : L^2 \not\rightarrow L^2$$



# Connection to Calderón-Zygmund theory

Note that:  $S_{1,0}^0 \subset S_{1,\delta}^0 \subset S_{1,1}^0$ .

## Theorem

*The class  $S_{1,1}^0$  is the largest one such that  $T_\sigma$  has a Calderón-Zygmund kernel.*

That is,

$$T_\sigma(f)(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where  $K(x, y)$  satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta K(x, y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{-n - |\alpha| - |\beta|}.$$

In particular,  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p \Leftrightarrow T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

$$S_{1,\delta}^0 : L^2 \rightarrow L^2, 0 \leq \delta < 1 \text{ but } S_{1,1}^0 : L^2 \not\rightarrow L^2$$

Note that:  $S_{1,0}^0 \subset S_{1,\delta}^0 \subset S_{1,1}^0$ .

## Theorem

*The class  $S_{1,1}^0$  is the largest one such that  $T_\sigma$  has a Calderón-Zygmund kernel.*

That is,

$$T_\sigma(f)(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where  $K(x, y)$  satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta K(x, y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{-n - |\alpha| - |\beta|}.$$

In particular,  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p \Leftrightarrow T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

$$S_{1,\delta}^0 : L^2 \rightarrow L^2, 0 \leq \delta < 1 \text{ but } S_{1,1}^0 : L^2 \not\rightarrow L^2$$

Note that:  $S_{1,0}^0 \subset S_{1,\delta}^0 \subset S_{1,1}^0$ .

## Theorem

*The class  $S_{1,1}^0$  is the largest one such that  $T_\sigma$  has a Calderón-Zygmund kernel.*

That is,

$$T_\sigma(f)(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where  $K(x, y)$  satisfies

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta K(x, y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{-n - |\alpha| - |\beta|}.$$

In particular,  $T_\sigma : L^p \rightarrow L^p \Leftrightarrow T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

$$S_{1,\delta}^0 : L^2 \rightarrow L^2, 0 \leq \delta < 1 \text{ but } S_{1,1}^0 : L^2 \not\rightarrow L^2$$

# Some examples

1. Let  $a_k \in C^\infty$  and  $|\partial_x^\alpha a_k(x)| \lesssim 1$ . Define the PDO

$$T = \sum_{|k| \leq m} a_k(x) \partial_x^k.$$

Then:  $T = T_\sigma$ , where

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{|k| \leq m} a_k(x) (i\xi)^k.$$

We have:  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^m$ .

2. Let  $|\partial_x^\alpha a_k(x)| \lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|}$  and  $\psi(\xi)$  supported in  $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$ . Define

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(x) \psi(2^{-k}\xi).$$

We have:  $\sigma \in S_{1,1}^0$ .

# Some examples

1. Let  $a_k \in C^\infty$  and  $|\partial_x^\alpha a_k(x)| \lesssim 1$ . Define the PDO

$$T = \sum_{|k| \leq m} a_k(x) \partial_x^k.$$

Then:  $T = T_\sigma$ , where

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{|k| \leq m} a_k(x) (i\xi)^k.$$

We have:  $\sigma \in S_{1,0}^m$ .

2. Let  $|\partial_x^\alpha a_k(x)| \lesssim 2^{k|\alpha|}$  and  $\psi(\xi)$  supported in  $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2$ . Define

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(x) \psi(2^{-k}\xi).$$

We have:  $\sigma \in S_{1,1}^0$ .

### 3. The heat operator

$$L = \partial_t - \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_{x_k}^2$$

has an approximate inverse  $T = T_\sigma$  ( $LT \sim I$ ) and

$$\sigma \in S_{1/2,0}^{-1}.$$

## Motivation

Kumano-go, Nagase-Shinkai ('70s): applications to parabolic and semi-elliptic operators

## Theorem (Calderón-Vaillancourt, 1970)

*If  $\sigma \in S_{0,0}^0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  (but not on  $L^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , in general).*

Recall that

$$\sigma \in S_{0,0}^0 \Leftrightarrow |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim 1.$$

## Theorem (Cordes, 1975)

*If  $\sigma \in S_{\rho,\rho}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .*

## Motivation

Kumano-go, Nagase-Shinkai ('70s): applications to parabolic and semi-elliptic operators

## Theorem (Calderón-Vaillancourt, 1970)

*If  $\sigma \in S_{0,0}^0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  (but not on  $L^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , in general).*

Recall that

$$\sigma \in S_{0,0}^0 \Leftrightarrow |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi)| \lesssim 1.$$

## Theorem (Cordes, 1975)

*If  $\sigma \in S_{\rho,\rho}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .*



## Theorem (Fefferman-Stein, 1972)

If  $\sigma \in S_{\rho,0}^m$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,  $-(1 - \rho)n/2 < m \leq 0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

## Theorem (Fefferman, 1973)

If  $\sigma \in S_{\rho,0}^{-(1-\rho)n/2}$ ,  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^\infty \rightarrow BMO$ .

Fefferman's result uses the fact (due to Hörmander, '70s) that

$$S_{\rho,\delta}^0 : L^2 \rightarrow L^2, 0 < \delta < \rho \leq 1.$$

## Theorem (Fefferman-Stein, 1972)

If  $\sigma \in S_{\rho,0}^m$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,  $-(1 - \rho)n/2 < m \leq 0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

## Theorem (Fefferman, 1973)

If  $\sigma \in S_{\rho,0}^{-(1-\rho)n/2}$ ,  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^\infty \rightarrow BMO$ .

Fefferman's result uses the fact (due to Hörmander, '70s) that

$$S_{\rho,\delta}^0 : L^2 \rightarrow L^2, 0 < \delta < \rho \leq 1.$$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$ . A symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$  belongs to the bilinear Hörmander class  $BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$  if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho(|\beta| + |\gamma|)}$$

Associated to such a symbol we have a bilinear  $\psi$ DO :

$$T_\sigma(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{ix \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta.$$

Bilinear  $\psi$ DOs generalize the product of two functions  $f \cdot g$ .

Question

*Do the results for linear  $\psi$ DOs go through in the bilinear case?*

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$ . A symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$  belongs to the **bilinear Hörmander class**  $BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$  if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho(|\beta| + |\gamma|)}$$

Associated to such a symbol we have a **bilinear  $\psi$ DO** :

$$T_\sigma(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{ix \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta.$$

Bilinear  $\psi$ DOs generalize the product of two functions  $f \cdot g$ .

Question

*Do the results for linear  $\psi$ DOs go through in the bilinear case?*

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$ . A symbol  $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$  belongs to the bilinear Hörmander class  $BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$  if

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)| \lesssim (1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho(|\beta| + |\gamma|)}$$

Associated to such a symbol we have a bilinear  $\psi$ DO :

$$T_\sigma(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\eta) e^{ix \cdot (\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta.$$

Bilinear  $\psi$ DOs generalize the product of two functions  $f \cdot g$ .

## Question

*Do the results for linear  $\psi$ DOs go through in the bilinear case?*

1. Let  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma(\xi, \eta) = \xi^k \eta^l (1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1/2}$ .

We have:  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^{k+l}$ .

2. Let  $\sigma(\xi, \eta) = \varphi(\xi, \eta)(1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1}$ , where  $\varphi$  is a smooth function such that  $\varphi = 1$  away from the set  $\{(\xi, \eta) : \eta = 0\}$ .

We have:  $\sigma \in BS_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{-1}$ .

3. Similarly, we have

$$\varphi(\xi, \eta)(1 + |\xi + \eta|^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1} \in BS_{1,0}^{-2}.$$

1. Let  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma(\xi, \eta) = \xi^k \eta^l (1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1/2}$ .

We have:  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^{k+l}$ .

2. Let  $\sigma(\xi, \eta) = \varphi(\xi, \eta)(1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1}$ , where  $\varphi$  is a smooth function such that  $\varphi = 1$  away from the set  $\{(\xi, \eta) : \eta = 0\}$ .

We have:  $\sigma \in BS_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{-1}$ .

3. Similarly, we have

$$\varphi(\xi, \eta)(1 + |\xi + \eta|^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1} \in BS_{1,0}^{-2}.$$

1. Let  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma(\xi, \eta) = \xi^k \eta^l (1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1/2}$ .

We have:  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^{k+l}$ .

2. Let  $\sigma(\xi, \eta) = \varphi(\xi, \eta)(1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1}$ , where  $\varphi$  is a smooth function such that  $\varphi = 1$  away from the set  $\{(\xi, \eta) : \eta = 0\}$ .

We have:  $\sigma \in BS_{\frac{1}{2},0}^{-1}$ .

3. Similarly, we have

$$\varphi(\xi, \eta)(1 + |\xi + \eta|^2 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{-1} \in BS_{1,0}^{-2}.$$



- 1 Multilinear operators as intermediate tools to study specific linear and nonlinear operators (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)
- 2 Commutator estimates to study the regularity of solutions of nonlinear PDEs (Kato-Ponce, '88)
- 3 Proof of Calderón's conjecture on the boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform. This question was posed in connection with the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves and the so-called Calderón commutators (Lacey-Thiele, '97; Grafakos-Li, '01)
- 4 Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with non-smooth symbols (Gilbert-Nahmod, Muscalu-Tao-Thiele, '99)
- 5 Systematic study of multilinear singular integrals (Grafakos-Torres, '99)
- 6 A theory of multilinear pseudodifferential operators...

- 1 Multilinear operators as intermediate tools to study specific linear and nonlinear operators (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)
- 2 Commutator estimates to study the regularity of solutions of nonlinear PDEs (Kato-Ponce, '88)
- 3 Proof of Calderón's conjecture on the boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform. This question was posed in connection with the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves and the so-called Calderón commutators (Lacey-Thiele, '97; Grafakos-Li, '01)
- 4 Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with non-smooth symbols (Gilbert-Nahmod, Muscalu-Tao-Thiele, '99)
- 5 Systematic study of multilinear singular integrals (Grafakos-Torres, '99)
- 6 A theory of multilinear pseudodifferential operators...

- 1 Multilinear operators as intermediate tools to study specific linear and nonlinear operators (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)
- 2 Commutator estimates to study the regularity of solutions of nonlinear PDEs (Kato-Ponce, '88)
- 3 Proof of Calderón's conjecture on the boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform. This question was posed in connection with the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves and the so-called Calderón commutators (Lacey-Thiele, '97; Grafakos-Li, '01)
- 4 Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with non-smooth symbols (Gilbert-Nahmod, Muscalu-Tao-Thiele, '99)
- 5 Systematic study of multilinear singular integrals (Grafakos-Torres, '99)
- 6 A theory of multilinear pseudodifferential operators...

# Bilinear $\psi$ DOs : why?

- 1 Multilinear operators as intermediate tools to study specific linear and nonlinear operators (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)
- 2 Commutator estimates to study the regularity of solutions of nonlinear PDEs (Kato-Ponce, '88)
- 3 Proof of Calderón's conjecture on the boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform. This question was posed in connection with the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves and the so-called Calderón commutators (Lacey-Thiele, '97; Grafakos-Li, '01)
- 4 Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with non-smooth symbols (Gilbert-Nahmod, Muscalu-Tao-Thiele, '99)
- 5 Systematic study of multilinear singular integrals (Grafakos-Torres, '99)
- 6 A theory of multilinear pseudodifferential operators...

- 1 Multilinear operators as intermediate tools to study specific linear and nonlinear operators (Coifman-Meyer, '70s)
- 2 Commutator estimates to study the regularity of solutions of nonlinear PDEs (Kato-Ponce, '88)
- 3 Proof of Calderón's conjecture on the boundedness of the bilinear Hilbert transform. This question was posed in connection with the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz curves and the so-called Calderón commutators (Lacey-Thiele, '97; Grafakos-Li, '01)
- 4 Bilinear pseudodifferential operators with non-smooth symbols (Gilbert-Nahmod, Muscalu-Tao-Thiele, '99)
- 5 Systematic study of multilinear singular integrals (Grafakos-Torres, '99)
- 6 A theory of multilinear pseudodifferential operators...

# The bilinear Coifman-Meyer classes: $BS_{1,\delta}^0, 0 \leq \delta < 1$

Theorem (Coifman-Meyer '78; Grafakos-Torres '02; B.-Torres '03)

If  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \times L^q \rightarrow L^r, 1/p + 1/q = 1/r < 2$ .

Theorem (B.-Oh, '10)

If  $\sigma \in BS_{1,\delta}^0, 0 \leq \delta < 1$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \times L^q \rightarrow L^r,$   
 $1/p + 1/q = 1/r < 2$ .

Tools: Littlewood-Paley theory; elementary symbols.

# The bilinear Coifman-Meyer classes: $BS_{1,\delta}^0, 0 \leq \delta < 1$

Theorem (Coifman-Meyer '78; Grafakos-Torres '02; B.-Torres '03)

If  $\sigma \in BS_{1,0}^0$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \times L^q \rightarrow L^r, 1/p + 1/q = 1/r < 2$ .

Theorem (B.-Oh, '10)

If  $\sigma \in BS_{1,\delta}^0, 0 \leq \delta < 1$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^p \times L^q \rightarrow L^r,$   
 $1/p + 1/q = 1/r < 2$ .

Tools: Littlewood-Paley theory; elementary symbols.

## Theorem (Grafakos-Torres, '02)

*The class  $BS_{1,1}^0$  is the largest one to produce bilinear Calderón-Zygmund kernels.*

That is,

$$T_\sigma(f, g)(x) = \int \int K(x, y, z) f(y) g(z) dy dz,$$

and  $K(x, y, z)$  satisfies appropriate smoothness-decay estimates. Both previous  $\psi$ DO boundedness results on the Coifman-Meyer classes follow once we can establish a transposition symbolic calculus.

## Theorem (B.-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '10)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$ , then  $T_\sigma^{*j} = T_{\sigma^{*j}}$  with  $\sigma^{*j} \in BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .*



## Theorem (Grafakos-Torres, '02)

*The class  $BS_{1,1}^0$  is the largest one to produce bilinear Calderón-Zygmund kernels.*

That is,

$$T_\sigma(f, g)(x) = \int \int K(x, y, z) f(y) g(z) dy dz,$$

and  $K(x, y, z)$  satisfies appropriate smoothness-decay estimates. Both previous  $\psi$ DO boundedness results on the Coifman-Meyer classes follow once we can establish a transposition symbolic calculus.

## Theorem (B.-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '10)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$ , then  $T_\sigma^{*j} = T_{\sigma^{*j}}$  with  $\sigma^{*j} \in BS_{\rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .*

Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*There exists a symbol in  $BS_{0,0}^0$  such that  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho,\rho}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , then  $BS_{\rho,\rho}^0 : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{0,0}^0$  and  $\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\xi^1 L_\eta^2$ ,  $\partial_\eta^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\eta^1 L_\xi^2$ , then  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^1$ .*

Tool: almost orthogonality.

Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*There exists a symbol in  $BS_{0,0}^0$  such that  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho,\rho}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , then  $BS_{\rho,\rho}^0 : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{0,0}^0$  and  $\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\xi^1 L_\eta^2$ ,  $\partial_\eta^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\eta^1 L_\xi^2$ , then  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^1$ .*

Tool: almost orthogonality.

## Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*There exists a symbol in  $BS_{0,0}^0$  such that  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

## Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho,\rho}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , then  $BS_{\rho,\rho}^0 : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

## Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{0,0}^0$  and  $\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\xi^1 L_\eta^2$ ,  $\partial_\eta^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\eta^1 L_\xi^2$ , then  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^1$ .*

Tool: almost orthogonality.

## Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*There exists a symbol in  $BS_{0,0}^0$  such that  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

## Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho,\rho}^0$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ , then  $BS_{\rho,\rho}^0 : L^2 \times L^2 \not\rightarrow L^1$ .*

## Theorem (B.-Torres, '04)

*If  $\sigma \in BS_{0,0}^0$  and  $\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\xi^1 L_\eta^2$ ,  $\partial_\eta^\alpha \sigma \in L_x^\infty L_\eta^1 L_\xi^2$ , then  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^1$ .*

Tool: almost orthogonality.

Theorem (B.-Gröchenig-Heil-Okoudjou, '05)

If  $\sigma \in BS_{0,0}^0$ , then  $T : L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow M^{1,\infty} \supseteq L^1$

An instructive statement (not completely correct):

$$f \in M^{p,q} \sim f \in L^p \text{ and } \hat{f} \in L^q$$

# Fefferman's result in the bilinear case

Although the classes  $BS_{\rho,\delta}^0$  fail to be bounded on products of Lebesgue spaces, we have surprisingly

Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho,0}^{n(\rho-1)}$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^\infty \times L^\infty \rightarrow BMO$ .

The crucial observation in the proof:

Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

If  $\lambda$  is a symbol such that

$$\sup_{\substack{|\beta| \leq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1 \\ |\alpha| \leq 2(2n+1)}} \sup_{\xi, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \lambda(y, \xi - \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^2} < \infty,$$

then  $T_\lambda : L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .

# Fefferman's result in the bilinear case

Although the classes  $BS_{\rho,\delta}^0$  fail to be bounded on products of Lebesgue spaces, we have surprisingly

Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

If  $\sigma \in BS_{\rho,0}^{n(\rho-1)}$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $T_\sigma : L^\infty \times L^\infty \rightarrow BMO$ .

The crucial observation in the proof:

Theorem (B.-Bernicot-Maldonado-Naibo-Torres, '11)

If  $\lambda$  is a symbol such that

$$\sup_{\substack{|\beta| \leq [\frac{n}{2}] + 1 \\ |\alpha| \leq 2(2n+1)}} \sup_{\xi, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \lambda(y, \xi - \cdot, \cdot)\|_{L^2} < \infty,$$

then  $T_\lambda : L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ .



**Thank you!**

